
35/1.7 Ultron versus 35/1.4 Nokton Classic SC

Posted by Andy K - 2008/04/15 21:32

This thread discusses the Content article: 35/1.7 Ultron versus 35/1.4 Nokton Classic SC

Thanks for the review Brian. I have been considering a next lens for my R3M. Now I'm torn between the 35/1.4 Nokton Classic and the 35/1.2 Nokton!

=====

Re:35/1.7 Ultron versus 35/1.4 Nokton Classic SC

Posted by Brian - 2008/04/15 16:32

I'd go for the 35/1.4. It's sharper wide open and a lot smaller. The only benefits to the 35/1.2 are a little extra speed and tonality (although from what I've seen shot wide open the 1.2 has very poor contrast.)

=====

Re:35/1.7 Ultron versus 35/1.4 Nokton Classic SC

Posted by fgjanni - 2008/04/21 05:21

Has anyone seen the comparison Sean Reid has done between the ultron and the nokton 35?

He got the opposite results, with a really bad focus shift, I wonder if Brian got a really bad Ultron while Sean got two very bad Nokton.

=====

Re:35/1.7 Ultron versus 35/1.4 Nokton Classic SC

Posted by Brian - 2008/04/21 09:14

Actually, we got different but not contradictory results. I got great center sharpness at/near wide-open. He got slightly noticeable focus shift at f2.8 and more backfocusing at f4. :)

We've been discussing it in the Leica forum:

<http://www.l-camera-forum.com/leica-forum/leica-m8-forum/50427-cv-35-1-4-nokton-sean-4.html>

=====